

To: Smith, Bernice[Smith.Bernice@epa.gov]
From: Bacalan, Vince
Sent: Tue 3/28/2017 5:46:03 PM
Subject: RE: Draft NEP Presentation for Estuary Caucus -- Santa Monica

I would reword the term 'influenced' because it's suggesting that the NEP advocated for this legislation. I don't think there's any harm in calling the bond act for what it really is. It is familiar to these CA representatives (and it's showing value that these investments are at work) and anything more might open yourself to more scrutiny.

Also their CCMP is the Bay Restoration Plan, so I recommend putting one of these terms in parenthesis to avoid confusion.

From: Smith, Bernice
Sent: Tuesday, March 28, 2017 12:05 PM
To: Bacalan, Vince <Bacalan.Vince@epa.gov>
Subject: Re: Draft NEP Presentation for Estuary Caucus -- Santa Monica

I will make that change about "NEP role" to the slides. Thanks Vince. Did you see the slides I just sent?

Sent from my iPhone

On Mar 28, 2017, at 11:55 AM, Bacalan, Vince <Bacalan.Vince@epa.gov> wrote:

Bernice,

Here is what I know about Proposition 84. It was a piece of legislation that was voted during the November 2006 election to make funds available for new investments in flood protection and water management programs (in the form of bonds). SMBNEP's FY08 workplan (attached, see page 4) first identified Prop 84 has having allocated \$18 million for implementing projects. The Santa Monica Bay Restoration Commission (as the state entity) has been and continues to be the responsible entity for overseeing this implementation. The NEP's contribution is to assist running the grant program with their partners to issue RFPs, vet projects, and offer recommendations to the Commission for funding.

I would not go so far as even mention that the NEP was responsible for having acquired this themselves because of the nature of the state's relationship as the state partner of the NEP itself. And because I don't know the history and context that seem to govern this NEP.

Hope this clarifies some of your earlier questions.

Vince

From: Bacalan, Vince
Sent: Tuesday, March 28, 2017 10:34 AM
To: Smith, Bernice <Smith.Bernice@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Draft NEP Presentation for Estuary Caucus

Hi Bernice,

Here are a number of success stories from SMBNEP to choose from. I added a third that's neither GI/SW but notable nevertheless for having garnered diverse partners. Please let me know if you have questions.

Vince

Prop 84 Grant Program ([2016 Report](#), page 3: Our Communities section and page 7: Prop 84 article)

- Background
 - o Originally allocated \$18 million in state funding for projects that implement the Bay Restoration Plan (BRP), including coastal watershed contamination prevention and coastal

and marine habitat restoration.

- Funds are intended to assist responsible agencies in achieving compliance with (TMDL) for waterbodies in the Bay watersheds and the associated requirements of the new Los Angeles County MS4 Permit.

- Accomplishments

- On-going projects include: City of Los Angeles University Park Rain Gardens, Milton Park Green Street Storm Water BMPs, and County of Los Angeles Oxford Basin Enhancement.

- Completed projects (from 2015 Report) include: City of Torrance Storm Water Basin Enhancement and City of Calabasas Catch Basin Trash Inserts

Rainwater Harvesting (2016 Report, page 4)

- This project installed four residential rain gardens in 2015 and a final report was completed in June 2016. The project calculated potable water conservation for each property that ranged from 70-94%, with additional significant stormwater capture and pollutant reduction.

Bilge Pumpout Installation (2015 Report, page 5)

- A state of the art bilge pumpout/oil water separator was installed at Oceanside Harbor. Boaters who use this prevent oily discharge from entering into the ocean. Engineered in Italy, the separator utilizes physical processes eliminating the need for filters or chemical additives.

- Led by The Bay Foundation's Boater Education and Outreach Program, its partners included CalRecycle, KECO Pump & Equipment, and City of Oceanside.

From: Smith, Bernicel

Sent: Monday, March 27, 2017 3:40 PM

To: Bacalan, Vince <Bacalan.Vince@epa.gov>

Cc: Wesson, Dolores <Wesson.Dolores@epa.gov>

Subject: FW: Draft NEP Presentation for Estuary Caucus

Hi Vince, per our conversation regarding high level CA NEP successes, SW management comes to mind for Santa Monica. Staffers may be in attendance for the following House members:

Jerry McNerney (D-CA)

Zoe Lofgren (D-CA)

Mark DeSaulnier (D-CA)

Jared Huffman (D-CA)

Copying Dolores, in case anything comes to mind from the 2015 PE.

Bernice

From: Smith, Bernice

Sent: Monday, March 27, 2017 2:30 PM

To: Mercado, Noemi <Mercado.Noemi@epa.gov>; Laurson, Nancy <Laurson.Nancy@epa.gov>; Bacalan, Vince <Bacalan.Vince@epa.gov>; Craghan, Michael <Craghan.Michael@epa.gov>; Wesson, Dolores <Wesson.Dolores@epa.gov>; Kadri, Jamal <Kadri.Jamal@epa.gov>

Cc: Zobrist, Marcus <Zobrist.Marcus@epa.gov>; Kaiser, Russell <Kaiser.Russell@epa.gov>

Subject: Draft NEP Presentation for Estuary Caucus

Hello NEP Team. Attached please find the draft presentation for the Estuary Caucus. Feel free to comment by cob today.

Bernice

Bernice L. Smith, Ph.D.

Chief, Coastal Management Branch

Oceans and Coastal Protection Division

Office of Wetlands, Oceans and Watersheds

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

1200 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. 4504T

Washington, D.C. 20460

Phone: 202-566-1244

Fax: 202-566-1336

<http://water.epa.gov/type/oceb/nep/index.cfm>

Visitors and Delivery: 1301 Constitution Ave. NW

William Jefferson Clinton West Bldg – RM 7214A MailCode: 4504T

Washington DC, 20004

<SMBRC-FY08 Work Plan.pdf>